Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42708 MC. D. C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In RN101840056 Docket No. 2011-1856-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Honeys Drive In, 3111 Concord Road, Beaumont, Jefferson County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No.

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: The complainant has expressed an interest in this matter but

does not wish to speak at Agenda.

Texas Register Publication Date: March 30, 2012

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$8,356

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,670 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$6,686 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average

Site/RN - Average by Default

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 and September 2011

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42708 MC. D. C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In RN101840056 Docket No. 2011-1856-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): August 30, 2011

Complaint Information: A complaint was received alleging that the vapor recovery

equipment was not properly maintained.

Date(s) of Investigation: September 9, 2011 Date(s) of NOE(s): September 29, 2011

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months or upon major system replacement or modification, whichever occurs first, and the vapor space manifolding and dynamic back pressure at least once every 36 months. Specifically, the Stage II testing was not conducted by the test due date of November 13, 2010 [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.245(2) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].
- 2. Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, including, but not limited to, absence or disconnection of any component that is a part of the approved system. Specifically, the nozzle on dispenser no. 6 was defective [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242(3) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Respondent replaced the defective nozzle on September 22, 2011.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days, conduct the testing of the Stage II equipment; and
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42708 MC. D. C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In RN101840056 Docket No. 2011-1856-PST-E

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Michael Meyer, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-4492; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Salim Makdsi, President, MC. D. C., INC., 8590 West Evangeline Lane,

Beaumont, Texas 77706

Mordee Makdsi, Vice President, MC. D. C., INC., 8590 West Evangeline Lane,

Beaumont, Texas 77706

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision October 30, 2008 Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) CEQ Assigned 3-Oct-2011 Screening 5-Oct-2011 **EPA Due** PCW 9-Apr-2012 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent MC. D.C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101840056 Major/Minor Source Major Facility/Site Region 10-Beaumont CASE INFORMATION No. of Violations 1 Enf./Case ID No. 42708 Docket No. 2011-1856-PST-E Order Type 1660 Government/Non-Profit No Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$10,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$5,000 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. \$0 Compliance History 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 No adjustment for compliance history. Notes \$0 Subtotal 4 Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes \$0 Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement* Total EB Amounts \$543 Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance \$5,000 Final Subtotal SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 \$543 10.9% Adjustment OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage Enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with Notes violation no. 1. \$5,543 Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction Adjustment

20.0%

(Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

\$5,543

-\$1,108

\$4,435

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage.

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 5-Oct-2011

Docket No. 2011-1856-PST-E

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Respondent MC. D.C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In

Case ID No. 42708

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101840056

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer

Component	ory <i>Sit</i> e Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	·
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
eat Violator (Subtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
pliance Histo	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average Pe	erformer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
	ory Summary		
Compliance History	No adjustment for compliance history.		

Screening Date		PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ilon 2 (September 2002) evision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		evision October 30, 2006
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Number		3 1
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.245(2) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)	
	Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12	
Violation Description	months or upon major system replacement or modification, whichever occurs first, and the vapor space manifolding and dynamic back pressure at least once every 36 months. Specifically, the Stage II testing was not conducted by the test due date of November 13, 2010.	
	Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
>> Liviloinnenta, Flopei	Harm	
Release	Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual Potential	had a second to be a	
>> Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	*
Faisircation	Percent 0%	
Chrometer Chrome		1
Matrix Human health	or the environment has been exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that	
Notes are prot	ective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	
		J
	Adjustment \$5,000	
		\$5,000
Violation Events		

Number of '	Violation Events 1 300 Number of violation days	
	dally	
	weekly	
mark only one	monthly quarterly Violation Base Penalty	\$5,000
with an x	semiannual Violation base i charty	43,000
	annual	1
	single event x	
One single ev	ent is recommended for the period preceding the September 9, 2011 investigation.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 0.0% Reduction	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	***************************************
	Extraordinary Ordinary	
	N/A x (mark with x)	
	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
	Notes this violation.	:
	Violation Subtotal	\$5,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for		
		+5 542
Estimat	ed EB Amount \$543 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$5,543
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$5,543

Violation No.	1					Percent Interest	Years of
					A.7. S.S. A.A. A.7. (A.4. (20.17) (A.4. (4.4. (4.4. (4.4. (4.4. (4.4. (4.4. (4.4. (4.4. (4.4. (4.4. (4.4. (4.4	reitent imerest	Depreciation
						5.0	1:
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or s	\$					
							PALALANDA PARADOS CONTRA MET
Delayed Costs				,			
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	***************************************			0.00	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	\$0
Land		-		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System	*****				\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal				10.00		11/0	3.U
				000	#0	n/n	¢Λ
Permit Costs Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)		IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0		\$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 enterin 0.00 0.00	\$0 g item (except \$0 \$0	n/a for one-time avoic \$0 \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 enterin 0.00 0.00	\$0 g item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a for one-time avoic	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 ig item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a For one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling				enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 ig item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	*500	IZE [1] avoided		enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 ig item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a For one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Assigned 3-Oct-2011 PCW 17-Jan-2012 Screening 5-Oct-2011 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent MC. D. C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101840056 Facility/Site Region 10-Beaumont Major/Minor Source Major **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 42708 No. of Violations 1 Docket No. 2011-1856-PST-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$3,750 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$0 No adjustment for compliance history. Notes \$0 Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 Notes The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments \$937 \$0 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 Total EB Amounts *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 \$2,813 Final Subtotal OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE \$0 Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage Notes Final Penalty Amount \$2,813

Final Assessed Penalty

Adjustment

Reduction

\$2,813

-\$562

\$2,251

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Screening Date 5-Oct-2011

Docket No. 2011-1856-PST-E

Respondent MC. D. C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In

Case ID No. 42708

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101840056

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	Ö	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	O	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	- 0 - : : :	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	1
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Oute	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
a ca changasa waasannaasa	Adjustment Per	centage (Sul	ototal 2)
eat Violator (No		contago (Sul	statal 2)
	ESSEUSSAN AND THE TREE TO THE TREE TREE TO THE TREE TREE TREE TREE TREE TREE TREE	centage (Sui	notai S)
	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Average Pe	-	centage (Sul	ntotai 7)
piiance Histo	ory Summary		i sartinisado T
Compliance History Notes	No adjustment for compliance history.		
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (Subtotolo 2	2 0 7)

Screening Date 5-Oct-2011 Docket No. 2011-1856-PST-E	PCW
Respondent MC. D. C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In Case ID No. 42708 PCW Revision Au PCW Revision Au	
Case ID No. 42708 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101840056	igusi 3, 2011
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer	
Violation Number 1	
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242(3) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)	
Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, including, but not limited to, absence or disconnection of any component that is a part of the approved system. Specifically, the nozzle on dispenser no. 6 was defective.	
Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm	
Release Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual x Potential Percent 15.0%	
Potential Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix	
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0%	
referred 5.0%	
Matrix Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which	
Matrix do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	ap."
the violation.	
Adjustment \$21,250	
	\$3,750
	T = 1:
Violation Events	
Number of Violation Events 1 13 Number of violation days	
December of the second	
daily	
weekly monthly	
mark only one quarterly X Violation Base Penalty	\$3,750
semiannual	
annual single event	
One quarterly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the	
September 9, 2011 investigation to the September 22, 2011 compliance date.	
Good Faith Efforts to Comply 25.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$937
Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
Ordinary	
N/A (mark with x)	
The Respondent came into compliance on September	
Notes 22, 2011, before the Notice of Enforcement dated September 29, 2011.	
	+2 2:=
Violation Subtotal	\$2,813
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated EB Amount \$1 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$2,813
Estimated Estimated	
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$2,813

eg. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delaved Costs							
Equipment	\$500	9-Sep-2011	22-Sep-2011	0.04	\$0	\$1	\$1
Buildings		Title Control		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	ri/a n/a	\$0 \$0
	Estimated co	ost to replace the		0.00 e. The	\$0	r/a	\$0
Other (as needed)			date Is	0.00 e. The the con	\$0 date required is th npliance date.	r/a n/a	\$0 and the final
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs			date Is	0.00 The the cone	\$0 date required is the standard required is the standard representation of the standard representation of the standard requirements and standard requirements re	n/a n/a ne investigation date for one-time avoid	\$0 and the final led costs) \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs			date Is	o.00 The the cone of the cone	\$0 date required is the standard required is the standard required in the standard requirement is a second requirement require	r/a n/a ne investigation date for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 and the final led costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel			date Is	0.00 The the cone of the cone	\$0 date required is the standard property of	rya n/a ne investigation date for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 and the final led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel			date Is	0.00 The the cone of the cone	\$0 date required is the standard required is the standard required is the standard requirement of the standard requirement is the standard requirement of the standard requirement requirement of the standard requirement re	for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 and the final led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling			date Is	e. The the con enteria 0.00 0	\$0 date required is the standard standa	ry/a n/a ne investigation date for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 and the final led costs
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]			date Is	0.00 The the cone of the cone	\$0 date required is the standard required is the standard recept \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 and the final led costs
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			date Is	e. The the con enteria 0.00 0	\$0 date required is the standard standa	ry/a n/a ne investigation date for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 and the final led costs

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN601263817

MC. D. C., INC.

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 2.75

Regulated Entity:

RN101840056

HONEYS DRIVE IN

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 3.01

BY DEFAULT

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK

REGISTRATION 26916

REGISTRATION

3111 CONCORD RD, BEAUMONT, TX, 77703

TCEQ Region:

Location:

REGION 10 - BEAUMONT

Date Compliance History Prepared:

October 07, 2011

Compliance Period:

October 07, 2006 to October 07, 2011

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Michael Meyer

Phone:

(512) 239-4492

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

No

3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator? N/A

4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

N/A

5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

6.Rating Date: 9/1/2011 Repeat Violator:

NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

N/A

F. Environmental audits.

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. 1.

Early compliance. J.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
MC. D. C., INC. DBA HONEYS	§	
DRIVE IN	§	
RN101840056	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2011-1856-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding MC. D. C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In ("the Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382 and Tex. Water Code ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 3111 Concord Road in Beaumont, Jefferson County, Texas (the "Station").
- 2. The Station consists of one or more sources as defined in Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about October 4, 2011.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Eight Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-Six Dollars (\$8,356) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in

Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Six Thousand Six Hundred Eighty-Six Dollars (\$6,686) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Six Hundred Seventy Dollars (\$1,670) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent replaced the defective nozzle on September 22, 2011.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Station, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months or upon major system replacement or modification, whichever occurs first, and the vapor space manifolding and dynamic back pressure at least once every 36 months, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.245(2) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 9, 2011. Specifically, the Stage II testing was not conducted by the test due date of November 13, 2010.
- 2. Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, including, but not limited to, absence or disconnection of any component that is a part of the approved system, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242(3) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 9, 2011. Specifically, the nozzle on dispenser no. 6 was defective.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: MC. D. C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In, Docket No. 2011-1856-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, conduct the testing of the Stage II equipment, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.245; and
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

MC. D. C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In DOCKET NO. 2011-1856-PST-E Page 4

> Waste Section Manager Beaumont Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 3870 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77703-1830

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize the delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good causes rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

MC. D. C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In DOCKET NO. 2011-1856-PST-E Page 5

8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

MC. D. C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In DOCKET NO. 2011-1856-PST-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission
For the Executive Director G 18 12 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.
 I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted; Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency; Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions; Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of MC. D. C., INC. dba Honeys Drive In

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.